

Message

From: Eisenreich, Karen [Eisenreich.Karen@epa.gov]
Sent: 12/13/2021 2:39:32 PM
To: Gillespie, Andrew [Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Planning Assumptions
Attachments: RE production Tranches_KE.docx

My **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)** Overall, my largest concern is that

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Karen Eisenreich, Ph.D.
Chief, Risk Assessment Branch 2
Toxicologist
US-EPA/OPPT/ECRAD (MC 7403M)
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20460
202-564-7843 (w)
202-875-0035 (c)

From: Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 9, 2021 2:54 PM
To: Eisenreich, Karen <Eisenreich.Karen@epa.gov>; Selby-Mohamadu, Yvette <Selby-Mohamadu.Yvette@epa.gov>; Choudhary, Rehan <choudhary.rehan@epa.gov>; Schappelle, Seema <Schappelle.Seema@epa.gov>; Healy, Sheila <Healy.Sheila@epa.gov>; Beachum, Collin <Beachum.Collin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Planning Assumptions

Colleagues – revising and extending my remarks, as they say in Congress.. based on discussion and feedback from some of you, here is another version for your consideration.
Main difference is moving up a couple of phthalates that have CRA implications – try to get them done by the end of 2024.

Also aiming to define tranch periods as ending in March and September, not June and December.
And better understanding of the Prioritization process means that we don't want small tranches (ie 2 or 3 chemicals).

Feedback welcome, we can discuss next week amongst ourselves and I am sure with Mark and the other divisions.

Andrew J. R. Gillespie, Ph. D.
Division Director (Acting), US EPA/OCSPP/OPPT/ECRAD

Office 919 541 3655 Mobile 614 330 2226

From: Gillespie, Andrew
Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2021 10:01 AM
To: Eisenreich, Karen <Eisenreich.Karen@epa.gov>; Selby-Mohamadu, Yvette <Selby-Mohamadu.Yvette@epa.gov>; Choudhary, Rehan <choudhary.rehan@epa.gov>; Schappelle, Seema <Schappelle.Seema@epa.gov>; Healy, Sheila <Healy.Sheila@epa.gov>; Beachum, Collin <Beachum.Collin@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Planning Assumptions

How about this for tranches:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Spreads the 20 over 2 years, which is a start to spreading them in the future even more.

Comments?

Andrew J. R. Gillespie, Ph. D.
Division Director (Acting), US EPA/OCSPP/OPPT/ECRAD

Office 919 541 3655 Mobile 614 330 2226

From: Hartman, Mark <Hartman.Mark@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>; Widawsky, David <Widawsky.David@epa.gov>; Mottley, Tanya <Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov>
Cc: Henry, Tala <Henry.Tala@epa.gov>
Subject: Planning Assumptions

Hi Everyone:

With an eye to the need to integrate the prioritizing of batches of chemicals as we start to finalize the next 20 I am thinking of two general options:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

The goal of either approach would be sensitive to the need to have prioritized the next tranche of chemicals concurrent with the finalization of the REs. That work will have to start in CY22 if the 13 month process is to play out in time for the first tranche of REs being finalized and be on a continuous loop forever more. So that needs to become integrated into our thinking now.

I have no buy-in on the timeframes but tried to use what you have all developed so far as a guide. This obviously doesn't incorporate dioxane, asbestos part 2 and the MRREs but those have no prioritization implications so I feel like we have a little more flexibility though we know we need asbestos done by in 2024.

I would like to hear your general thoughts on these ideas and land on a set of basic planning assumptions we can use to frame the plan. Would like to discuss, perhaps at the end of the DD meeting next week, prior to the next pre-brief.

Thanks.

Mark

Mark A. Hartman
Deputy Director
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
(202) 564-0985